## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BERLIN 06657 110531Z

12

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 EA-07 ISO-00 SAJ-01 DHA-02 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 SCA-01 SCS-03 /073 W

----- 070359

R 101534Z SEP 76

FM AMEMBASSY BERLIN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3219

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBSSY CANBERRA

AMCONSUL MELBOURNE

AMCONSUL SYDNEY

USMISSION USBERLIN UNN

CONFIDENTIAL BERLIN 6657

E.O.11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, CGEN, GE, AS

SUBJECT: AUSTRALIAN-GDR CONSULAR RELATIONS: HUMANITARIAN CASES.

REF: 9 FAM, APPA, 22 CFR 41.25; N.1.2.

1. ACCORDING TO AUSTRALIAM EMBOFF ( MCFAYDEN-CONSUL/ADMIN), AUSTRALIAN CONSULAR INTERESTS IN THE GDR ARE HEAVILY WEIGHTED TOWARD RESOLUTION OF HUMANTARIAN CASES. THE AUSTRALIANS NOW HAVE 7 OR 8 PENDING ACTIVE BINATIONAL MARRIAGE CASES, AND ONE CASE OF AN AUSTRALIAN CITIZEN, BORN IN AUSTRALIA BUT BROUGHT TO THE GDR AS A MINOR CHILD, WHICH HAS ATTRACTED THE INTENSE PERSONAL INTEREST OF THE AUSTRALIAN FOREIGN MINISTER, ANDREW PEACOCK. IN THIS CASE, THE AUSTRALIAN EMBASSY IS MAKING THE STRONGEST REPRESENTATION POSSIBLE TO OBTAIN THIS PERSON'S RELEASE. A SPECIAL FACTOR HERE IS THAT THE AUSTRALIANS ARE RESISTING GDR CLAIMS THAT THE PERSON IS A GDR CITIZEN BECAUSE HE TURNED DOWN GDR CITIZENSHIP WHEN IT WAS OFFERED TO HIM AT AGE 14, AS APPARENTLY PRESECRIBED BY GDR LAW.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BERLIN 06657 110531Z

2. SOME OTHER CASES INVOLVING BOTH DIVIDED FAMILIES

AND BINATIONAL MARRIAGES HAVE BEEN SOLVED OVER THE PAST YEAR. THE GDR MFA( CONSULAR DEPARTMENT) HAS, HOWEVER, NEVER ANSWERED ANY AUSTRALIAN EMBASSY NOTES VERBALE ON SUCH CASES IN WRITING. ( NOTE: THIS PARALLESL THE EXPERIENCE OF OTHER WESTERN EMBSSIES HERE). THEIR CONTACTS WITH AMBASSADOR AUGUST KLOBES, DIRECTOR, CONSULAR DEPARTMENT, HAVE ALSO BEEN AS UNPLEASANT AS THOSE WE AND OTHER DIPLOMATIC REPRESENTATIVES HAVE HAD. AT THE BEGINNING OF THE AUSTRALIAN EMBASSY'S OPERATIONS HERE IN 1975, KLOBES REJECTED EVEN THE IDEA OF AUSTRALIAN INTERST IN THE FREE MOVEMENT OFGDR CITIZENS WITH AUSTRALIAN CONNECTIONS. FORTUNATELY, THAT ATTITUDE HAS SOFTENED SOMEWHAT AFTER THE AUSTRALIANS PRESSED FORWARD ON THEIR CASES.

- 3. COMMENT: IN DISCUSSING THE CASE OF THE AUSTRALIAN CITIZEN CAUGHT IN THE GDR, MCFAYDEN MADE CLEAR THAT THE AUSTRALIANS REJECT, IN PRINCIPLE AT LEAST, THE CONCEPT OF DUAL NATIONALITY ALTHOUGH THEY ACCEPT CERTAIN OF ITS PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS. IN EFFECT, THE AUSTRALIANS ARE ARGUING WITH THE MFA ABOUT THE VALIDITY OF THE GDR CLAIM THAT THIS YOUNG MAN IS A GDR CITIZEN.
- 4. ALTHOUGH THE AUSTRALIANS INSIT ON THERELEASE OF THIS YOUNG MAN FROM THE GDR, MCFAYDEN DID NOT SPECIFICALLY CONFIRM THAT HISGOVERNMENT WOULD ACCEPT SOME VERSION OF THE SOLUTION WE HAVE EMPLOYED ON OCCASION HER. THIS (A) DOCUMENTING THE AMERICAN CITIZEN WITH A U.S. PASSPORT, (B) ISSUING A PRO FORMA B-2 NIV IN THE GDR PASSPORT GIVEN TO PERSONS RELEASED BY THIS GOVERNMENT TO SATISFY GDR REQUIREMENT THATAN ENTRY VISA FOR THEDESTINATION OF THEIR CITIZEN BE ISSUED PRIOR TO DEPARTURE ( SEE REFERENCE), AND (C) ADVISING THE PERSON NOT TO USE THE GDR PASSPORT TO ENTER THE U.S.
- 5. WE FURTHR NOTE THATWHILE HUMANTARIAN CASES ARE THE CURRENT PRIMARY CONCERN OF AUSTRALIAN CONSULAR OFFICIALS, IT IS CONCEIVABLE THAT PROTECTION AND WELFARE OF AUSTRALIANS VISITING THE GDR COULD BECOME MORE ACTIVE WHEN AUSTRALIANS BEGIN TO VISIT THE GDR IN GREATER CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BERLIN 06657 110531Z

NUMBERS THAN AT PRESENT. JUDGING BY THE ATTITUDE EXPRESSED BY LOCAL AUSTRALIAN OFFICIALS, THE MATER OF DETERMINING NATIONALITY BETWEEN THE GDR AND AUSTRALIA COULD POSE A PROBLEM, PARTICULARLY IF "NEW" AUSTRALIANS OF EAST EUROPEAN ORIGIN COME TO VISIT. WHETHER THE GDR WILL WANT A CONSULAR CONVENTION WITH AUSTRALIA, AS WITH OTHER WESTERN COUNTRIES, REMAINS T AN OPEN QUESTION. COOPER

	Margaret F. Grafeld Declassified/Neleased 03 Department of State LO Systematic Neview 04 MAT 2000
CONFIDE	NITIAI
CONTIDL	MIAL
NNN	

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: FOREIGN RELATIONS, HUMAN RIGHTS, RESOLUTIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 10 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976BERL IN06657

Document Number: 1976BERLIN06657 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760343-0907

From: BERLIN

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760975/aaaaclmk.tel Line Count: 115 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 27 APR 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <27 APR 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <19 AUG 2004 by CunninFX>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: AUSTRALIAN-GDR CONSULAR RELATIONS: HUMANITARIAN CASES. TAGS: PFOR, CGEN, GC, AS
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006